

References

- Coon JT, Gwernan-Jones R, Moore D, Richardson M, Shotton C, Pritchard W, et al. End-user involvement in a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative research of non-pharmacological interventions for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder delivered in school settings: reflections on the impacts and challenges. *Health Expectations* 2016;19:1084–97.
- Dwan K, Altman DG, Arnaiz JA, Bloom J, Chan AW, Cronin E, et al. Systematic review of the empirical evidence of study publication bias and outcome reporting bias. *PLOS ONE*; (2008) 3:e3081
- Gherzi D. Making it happen: approaches to involving consumers in Cochrane reviews. *Evaluation and the Health Professions* 2002;25(3):270-83.
- Hirsch BR, Califf RM, Cheng SK, Tasneem A, Hortn J, Chiswell K, et al. Characteristics of oncology trials. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 2013;173:972-9.
- Kelson MC. Consumer collaboration, patient-defined outcomes and the preparation of Cochrane Reviews. *Health Expectations* 1999;2(2):129-35.
- Morley R, Norman G, Golder S, Griffith P. A systematic scoping review of the evidence for consumer involvement in organisations undertaking systematic reviews: focus on Cochrane. *Research Involvement and Engagement* 2016;2:36.
- Noble A, Marson A. Which outcomes should we measure in adult epilepsy trials? The views of people with epilepsy and informal carers. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2016;59:105-10.
- Pollock A, Campbell P, Struthers C, Synnot A, Nunn J, Hill S, et al. Stakeholder involvement in systematic reviews: a protocol for a systematic review of methods, outcomes and effects. *Research Involvement and Engagement* 2017;3:9.
- Saldanha I, Li T, Yang C, Owczarzak J, Williamson P, Dickersin K. Review: Clinical trials and systematic reviews addressing similar interventions for the same condition do not consider similar outcomes to be important: a case study in HIV/AIDS. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2017;84:85-94.
- Smyth RM, Kirkham J, Jacoby A, Altman DG, Gamble C, Williamson PR, et al. Frequency and reasons for outcome reporting bias in clinical trials: interviews with trialists. *BMJ* 2011;342:c7153.
- Turk D, Dworkin R, Revicki D, Harding G, Burke L, Cella D, et al. Identifying important outcome domains for chronic pain clinical trials: an IMMPACT survey of people with pain. *Pain* 2008;137:276-85.

Vale CL, Tierney JF, Spera N, Whelan A, Nightingale A, Hanley B. Evaluation of patient involvement in a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data in cervical cancer treatment. *Systematic Reviews* 2012;1(1):23.