

Data collection and analysis (PR22-PR40) 2

Data collection and analysis (PR22-PR40)

Data collection and analysis

	Standard	Rationale and elaboration	Resources
PR22	<i>Inclusion decisions</i>	Mandatory	
	State how inclusion decisions will be made (i.e. from search results to included studies), clarifying how many people will be involved and whether they will work independently.	MECIR conduct standard 39: Use (at least) two people working independently to determine whether each study meets the eligibility criteria, and define in advance the process for resolving disagreements.	See Handbook Section III.3.3.3 and Section 4.4.10 Cochrane Training resource: selecting studies CIL: module 4 - selecting studies and collecting data
PR23	<i>Data collection process</i>	Mandatory	
	State how data will be extracted from reports of included studies, clarifying how many people will be involved (and whether they will work independently), and how disagreements will be resolved.	MECIR conduct standard 43: Use a data collection form that has been piloted. MECIR conduct standard 45: Use (at least) two people working independently to extract study characteristics from reports of each study, and define in advance the process for resolving disagreements	See Handbook Section III.3.3.3 , Section 5.4.1 and Section 5.5.2 Cochrane Training resource: collecting data CIL: module 4 - selecting studies and collecting data
PR24	<i>Requests for data</i>	Highly desirable	
	Describe what attempts will be made to obtain or clarify data from individuals or organizations.	MECIR conduct standard 49: Seek key unpublished information that is missing from reports of included studies.	See Handbook Section III.3.3.3 , and Section 5.2.3 Cochrane Training resource: collecting data CIL: module 4 - selecting studies and collecting data
PR25	<i>Data items</i>	Mandatory	
	State the types of information that will be sought from reports of included studies.	This information is a useful basis for the design of data collection forms and also indicates what sort of information about the included studies readers might anticipate seeing in the full text of the review. Detailed lists are not necessary. Instead, a broad outline of the summary information that authors might collect will suffice, for example: “We will collect information on study design and setting, participant characteristics (including disease severity and age), study eligibility criteria, details of the intervention(s) given, the outcomes assessed, the source of study funding and any conflicts of interest stated by the investigators.”	See Handbook Section III.3.3.3 and Section 5.3.1 Cochrane Training resource: collecting data CIL: module 4 - selecting studies and collecting data

		<p>MECIR conduct standard 44: Collect characteristics of the included studies in sufficient detail to populate a table of 'Characteristics of included studies'.</p>	
PR26	<i>Missing data</i>	Highly desirable	
	Comment on how missing data will be addressed.	<p>Briefly describe any planned strategies that will be used to address missing data. This might include imputation of missing outcome data for individuals within studies (such as worst-case or best-case scenarios), or imputations of missing standard deviations. Note that standard deviations can sometimes be computed from other reported statistics.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 47: Collect and utilize the most detailed numerical data that might facilitate similar analyses of included studies. Where 2x2 tables or means and standard deviations are not available, this might include effect estimates (e.g. odds ratios, regression coefficients), confidence intervals, test statistics (e.g. t, F, Z, Chi2) or P values, or even data for individual participants.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 64: Consider the implications of missing outcome data from individual participants (due to losses to follow-up or exclusions from analysis).</p>	<p>See <i>Handbook</i> Section III.3.3.3, Section 5.3.6 and Section 10.12.1</p> <p>Cochrane Training resources: collecting data; analysing dichotomous outcomes; analysing continuous outcomes; assessing RoB included studies</p>
PR27	<i>Tools to assess risk of bias in individual studies</i>	Mandatory	
	State and reference the tool(s) that will be used to assess risk of bias for included studies, how the tool(s) will be implemented, and the criteria that will be used to assign study results to judgements of low risk, high risk and unclear risk of bias	<p>Different tools are likely to be appropriate for different types of studies (e.g. randomized trials and non-randomized studies). If the current <i>Handbook</i> guidance for undertaking 'Risk of bias' assessments will be followed in its entirety, then a reference to the <i>Handbook</i> is sufficient to provide the criteria used to assign judgements. Justify any intended deviations from the tool.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 20: Plan in advance the</p>	<p>See <i>Handbook</i> Section III.3.3.3, Section 7.1.2 and Chapter 8.</p> <p>Cochrane Training resources: assessing RoB included studies and Rob 2.0 webinar</p> <p>CIL: module 5 - introduction to study quality and risk of bias</p>

		<p>methods to be used for assessing risk of bias in included studies, including the tool(s) to be used, how the tool(s) will be implemented, and the criteria used to assign study results to judgements of low risk, high risk and unclear risk of bias.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 52: Assess the risk of bias in at least one specific result for each included study. For randomized trials, the RoB 2 tool should be used, involving judgements and support for those judgements across a series of domains of bias, as described in <i>Handbook</i> (version 6).</p> <p>Also MECIR conduct standards 53–60</p>	
PR28	<i>'Risk of bias' assessment process</i>	Mandatory	
	State how risk of bias will be assessed, clarifying how many people will be involved (and whether they will work independently), and how disagreements will be resolved.	<p>MECIR conduct standard 53: Use (at least) two people working independently to apply the 'Risk of bias' tool to each included study, and define in advance the process for resolving disagreements.</p> <p>If the Risk of Bias 2 tool (see <i>Handbook</i> (version 6) Chapter 8) is to be used, state whether interest will be in the effect of assignment to intervention or the effect of adhering to intervention, and explain how results will be selected to be assessed for risk of bias (i.e. for which outcome domains, outcome measures, time points and analyses).</p>	<p>See <i>Handbook</i> Section III.3.3.3, Section 7.3.2 and Chapter 8</p> <p>Cochrane Training resources: assessing RoB included studies and Rob 2.0 webinar</p> <p>CIL: module 5 - introduction to study quality and risk of bias</p>
PR29	<i>Measures of effect</i>	Mandatory	
	State the effect measures that will be used to describe effect sizes in any included studies or meta-analyses, or both (e.g. risk ratio or odds ratio, mean difference or standardized mean difference).		<p>See <i>Handbook</i> Section III.3.3.3</p> <p>Cochrane Training resources: analysing dichotomous outcomes and analysing continuous outcomes</p> <p>CIL: module 6 - analysing the data</p>
PR30	<i>Unit of analysis issues</i>	Mandatory	
	<i>If designs other than individually randomized, parallel-group randomized</i>	In some circumstances, specific study designs are likely to be identified in which unit-of-	See <i>Handbook</i> Section III.3.3.3 and Section 6.2.1

	<p>trials are likely to be included, describe any methods that will be used to address clustering, matching or other design features of the included studies</p>	<p>analysis errors might arise. This includes cluster-randomized trials, cross-over trials, trials involving multiple body parts and non-randomized studies with clustered designs.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 70: Consider the impact on the analysis of clustering, matching or other non-standard design features of the included studies.</p>	<p>Cochrane Training resource: non-standard data and study designs</p> <p>CIL: module 6 - analysing the data</p>
PR31	<p><i>Studies with more than two groups</i></p>	<p>Highly desirable</p>	
	<p>If multi-arm studies are likely to be included, explain how they will be addressed and incorporated into syntheses.</p>	<p>Note that it is mandatory to describe these methods in the full version of the review if studies with more than one arm are identified and included.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 66: If multi-arm studies are included, analyse multiple intervention groups in an appropriate way that avoids arbitrary omission of relevant groups and double-counting of participants.</p>	<p>See Handbook Section III.3.3.3, Section 6.2.9 and Chapter 11.</p> <p>Cochrane Training resource: non-standard data and study designs</p> <p>CIL: module 6 - analysing the data</p>
PR32	<p><i>Quantitative synthesis</i></p>	<p>Mandatory</p>	
	<p>Describe any intended statistical methods for combining results across studies (e.g. meta-analysis, subgroup analysis, meta-regression, sensitivity analysis), including methods for assessing heterogeneity (e.g. I^2, Tau^2, statistical test).</p>	<p>In the majority of reviews, most of this information is located under the subheading 'Data synthesis'. Note, however, that additional subheadings should be used to provide details of subgroup analyses, assessment of heterogeneity and sensitivity analysis.</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 21: Plan in advance the methods to be used to synthesize the results of the included studies, including whether a quantitative synthesis is planned, how heterogeneity will be assessed, choice of effect measure (e.g. odds ratio, risk ratio, risk difference or other for dichotomous outcomes), and methods for meta-analysis (e.g. inverse variance or Mantel Haenszel, fixed-effect or random-effects model).</p> <p>MECIR conduct standard 62: Undertake (or display) a meta-analysis only if participants, interventions, comparisons and outcomes are judged to be sufficiently similar to ensure an</p>	<p>See Handbook Section III.3.3.3, Section 1.5 and Section 10.10.2.</p> <p>Cochrane Training resources: introduction to meta-analysis and exploring heterogeneity</p> <p>CIL: module 6 - analysing the data</p>

		answer that is clinically meaningful. MECIR conduct standard 63 : Assess the presence and extent of between-study variation when undertaking a meta-analysis.	
PR33	<i>Non-quantitative synthesis</i>	Mandatory	
	Describe any intended non-statistical methods for synthesizing findings across studies (sometimes referred to as narrative or qualitative synthesis).	It may be apparent that a meta-analysis is unlikely, in which case methods should be prespecified for how the findings of the included studies will be compared and contrasted.	See <i>Handbook</i> Chapter 12 Cochrane Training resources: Cochrane Consumers & Communication Data Synthesis and Analysis CIL: module 8 - reporting the review
PR34	<i>Risk of reporting bias across studies</i>	Highly desirable	
	Describe any methods that will be used for assessing the risk of reporting biases such as publication bias.		See <i>Handbook</i> Chapter 13 Cochrane Training resources: small study effects and reporting biases CIL: module 7 - interpreting the findings
PR35	<i>Addressing risk of bias</i>	Mandatory	
	Describe how studies with high or variable risks of bias will be addressed in the synthesis.	Several options are available for addressing risk of bias in a synthesis, including reporting separate syntheses for studies at different risks of bias, restricting analysis to studies at low (or low and unclear) risk of bias only, and undertaking sensitivity analysis to examine the impact of risks of bias on the conclusions. An understanding of the impact of risks of bias is important to inform GRADE assessments. MECIR conduct standard 58 : Address risk of bias in the synthesis (whether quantitative or non-quantitative). For example, present analyses that are stratified according to summary risk of bias, restricted to studies at low risk of bias or restricted to low-and-some-concerns of risk of bias	See <i>Handbook</i> Section 7.6.1 and Chapter 8 Cochrane Training resources: assessing RoB included studies and RoB 2.0 webinar
PR36	<i>Subgroup analyses</i>	Mandatory	
	If subgroup analysis (or meta-regression) are planned, state the potential effect modifiers with rationale for each.	MECIR conduct standard 22 : Predefine potential effect modifiers (e.g. for subgroup analyses) at the protocol stage,	See <i>Handbook</i> Section III.3.3.3 Cochrane Training resource: exploring heterogeneity

		restrict these in number, and provide rationale for each.	CIL: module 6 - analysing the data
PR37	<i>Methods for economic evidence</i>	Mandatory	
	<i>If health economics evidence is to be reviewed, state the methods to be used to assess and synthesize this evidence.</i>		See Handbook Chapter 20 CIL: module 6 - analysing the data
PR38	<i>Methods for qualitative research evidence</i>	Mandatory	
	<i>If qualitative research evidence is to be reviewed, state the methods to be used to assess and synthesize this evidence.</i>		See Handbook Chapter 21 Cochrane Training resource: Webinar - GRADE CERQual
PR39	<i>Certainty of the evidence</i>	Mandatory	
	State the methods to be used to assess the certainty of the body of evidence (using the five GRADE considerations).	If the current GRADE guidance for these assessments will be followed in its entirety (see <i>Handbook</i> Chapter 14), then a reference to this is sufficient to provide the criteria used to make judgements. MECIR conduct standard 74: Use the five GRADE considerations (risk of bias, consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness and publication bias) to assess the certainty of the body of evidence for each outcome, and to draw conclusions about the certainty of evidence within the text of the review.	See Handbook Section III.3.3.3 and Section 14.2.1 Cochrane Training resource: GRADE approach to evaluating evidence quality Incorporating GRADE in Cochrane Reviews.
PR40	<i>'Summary of findings' table</i>	Mandatory	
	State which outcomes and comparisons it is planned will be included in a 'Summary of findings' table.	A maximum of seven important outcomes should be prespecified for inclusion in a 'Summary of findings' table (see <i>Handbook</i> Chapter 14). If possible, sources of any assumed risks to be presented in a 'Summary of findings' table should be explained. MECIR conduct standard 23: Plan in advance the methods to be used for assessing the certainty of the body of evidence, and summarizing the findings of the review.	See Handbook Section III.3.3.3 and Section 1.5 Cochrane Training resource: Summary of Findings tables . Planning GRADE and Summary of Findings tables CIL: module 8 - reporting the review