# Improving your Cochrane Review PDF

A guide to use alongside the Preview Published PDF service

Updated: 16 May 2013 Prepared by: Cochrane Information Management System (IMS) team, Cochrane Editorial Unit, and Wiley

# Contents

1	Intro	oduct	tion	2
	1.1	Abo	ut the PDF production process	2
	1.2	Prev	view Published PDF service	2
	1.3	How	v to use this document	2
	1.4	Expe	ectations of Cochrane editorial staff	2
	1.4.	1	When should Cochrane editorial staff check the PDF?	2
	1.4.	2	Should the authors be checking the PDF using the Preview Published PDF service?	2
	1.5	Con	tacts	3
	1.5.	1	Queries about the Preview Published PDF service (covered in part 2)	3
	1.5. Part		To alert the Production Editor to changes that need to be made upon publication (covered in 3	۱
2	lssu	es th	at can be rectified by making changes to the file in RevMan	1
	2.1	Tab	les (excluding Summary of Findings tables)	1
	2.1.	1	Keep the number of columns and rows to a minimum	1
	2.1. disp	_	Do not insert symbols, tabs or spaces in a table to make it 'look nice', as these can affect the final PDF	
	2.1.	3	Do not use hyperlinks as the first 'word' in the first column of a table	õ
	2.2	Sum	mary of Findings (SoF) tables	7
	2.3	Text	t	7
	2.3.	1	Do not use tabs or multiple spaces within a block of text	7
	2.3.	2	Do not use lists with more than three levels	7
3	lssu	es th	at can only be rectified by the publisher (Wiley) after the review is published	3
	3.1	Sum	nmary of Findings (SoF) tables 8	3
	3.1.	1	Orientation of the page before or after the SoF table	3
	3.1.	2	Summary of Findings table cell bleeding to right edge of page	)
	3.2	Text	t	)
	3.2.	1	Reference text bleeding into the second column of text	)
	3.2.	2	Text bleeding to right edge of the page	)
	3.3	Fore	est plot: vertical line extending down through following pages	)
	3.4	Refe	erences: corruption of reference text	)
	3.5	Blar	ık pages10	)

# I Introduction

# I.I About the PDF production process

The production of the PDF version of Cochrane Reviews (and protocols) published in the *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (*CDSR*) is an automated process. While efforts are made to ensure that the review converts well to a PDF, sometimes there are problems with the display of the PDF. Most of these can be avoided by using the tips in this document; others need to be corrected manually by the Production Editor (Wiley) immediately after upon publication in the *CDSR*.

# **I.2 Preview Published PDF service**

The Preview Published PDF service, introduced in January 2012, allows Cochrane authors and other Archie users to view an advance proof of the PDF version of a Cochrane Review, as it will appear in the *CDSR*.

# **I.3** How to use this document

This document is intended to be used by Cochrane editorial staff to ensure the best display of the PDF version of their Cochrane Reviews (and protocols).

Part 2 provides examples of issues that can be rectified by making changes in Review Manager (RevMan). Editorial staff should consider sharing these issues with authors.

Part 2 provides tips to improve the appearance of a PDF. These tips refer to making changes to the review in Review Manager (RevMan) before publication that will affect the appearance of the PDF.

Part 3 provides examples of issues affecting the appearance of the PDF version that can only be rectified by the Wiley Production Editor following publication in the *CDSR*. These need to be brought to the attention of the Production Editor (Wiley) as soon as possible so that the correction can be arranged.

# **1.4 Expectations of Cochrane editorial staff**

With the continuous publishing model (Publish When Ready), the publisher (Wiley) will no longer be able to check the display of all PDFs before they are published in the *CDSR*. It is expected that Cochrane editorial staff will check the PDFs using the PDF Published PDF service as part of their final checks on a review or protocol.

## 1.4.1 When should Cochrane editorial staff check the PDF?

This should be after copy-editing but before marking the review for publication

# 1.4.2 Should the authors be checking the PDF using the Preview Published PDF service?

This is a decision for the Cochrane editorial team. There are many issues below that can be fixed in RevMan, and editorial staff may wish to ask the authors to fix these, or editorial staff may wish to warn them in advance not to do these things. Editorial staff may share this document or sections of it with authors as needed.

# I.5 Contacts

## 1.5.1 Queries about the Preview Published PDF service (covered in part 2)

Authors should contact their Cochrane Review Group (CRG) Managing Editor. Managing Editors should contact Managing Editor Support (<u>mesupport@cochrane.org</u> or <u>www.editorial-</u><u>unit.cochrane.org/managing-editor-support</u>).

# 1.5.2 To alert the Production Editor to changes that need to be made upon publication (covered in Part 3)

Authors should contact their CRG Managing Editor who should contact David Hives (<u>dhives@wiley.com</u>), Production Editor, *The Cochrane Library*. To ensure quick resolution of the errors, detail the following items:

- CD###### or MR######.
- Review/ Protocol Title.
- The PDF page number of the error.
- A brief description of the error.
- A screenshot/image snip of the error.

David Hives will contact the Managing Editor within 24 hours of the PDF error being corrected.

# 2 Issues that can be rectified by making changes to the file in RevMan

# 2.1 Tables (excluding Summary of Findings tables)

## 2.1.1 Keep the number of columns and rows to a minimum

Table column widths are calculated automatically as the PDF is generated. The column widths will decrease as the number of columns increase. This can mean that columns are narrow and the content may be difficult to read (see Figure 1).

Therefore:

- Try and keep the number of columns to a minimum. (A maximum of 10 columns per table is recommended for uncluttered reading. However, a maximum of 25 columns is permitted).
- Try to avoid including lots of text in tables with many columns (see Figure 2).
- Longer text may be allocated in the last column as this usually appears the widest.
- Remove any empty columns or rows (see Figure 3 and Figure 4) because readers may think text is missing and the text alignment in the PDF versions may be detrimentally affected.

Be aware, the tables will not look the same in RevMan and the published PDF version (see Figure 5).

# Figure 1. Example of table with many columns (narrow, cluttered reading and content bleeding out on right side of table column)

ter-		198	tem	40-	bea 2005	Vrice	2004		200		Hoo 200:		1983	198;		2001		200		198:			Wein- trob 2004
Sez [fe- rrale / rrale	6961		Not n- ports	42%	62%	47%	5676	48 %	63%	40%	53%	53%	38%	60%	40%		53%	61%	42%	60%	42%	33%	57%/43/
															HOI 12 27%								
						11:					11:				11:			11:			11:		
											35.3												
										tal:	Ortar				FBR								
(SD)		Pore	36.1		dian			48.5		in a	with				34(5		18-	18.9	320,2	36.5	12.5	36(1	dian.
(sD)						10.6		10.2		40.4		15.6			HOI		38)	Ta					11.9
					frang						12:				12:			tak					(range
					145						37 (1 (mar				32(9		12:2: (rang	18.4					9.25-
					17.9						ing with						19-						13.75)

Figure 2. Truncated text highlighted; due to lots of words in a column or columns combined with multiple columns

		N: 58		Local AEx		Sponsorship
			blind study	occurrence of		not reported
	study		The second s	adverse events		
	Patient deliv-			(duration,	events)	
	STATISTICS STORES	LF: 16		Correction & County of	AE(L)(treatmen	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP
	TION:	(27,6%)	Placebo	whether treat-		de Kerkhol
	non random	BC: NA		ment-related)	Townshiliny:	1996b - 3 of
	Method	Age:	Part 2: open	Patient and in-	Investigator	15 centres par-
	of randomisa-	45 (range: 19	fol-	vestigator	assessment:	ticipated
	tion: NA Con-	to 78)	low up study	assessments of	2.60 (0.53SD,	
	cealment: NA	Gender	(4 wk washout	tolerability (4	N = 58);	Scalp
	BLINDING	(%M): 69.0%	period): Tacal-	pt: v. good (3)	patient as-	encluded
5	open	sveriry: BSA:		to insufficient	sessment: 2.53	
l	WITHDRAWA	8.004	ointment OD,	(0))	(0.63SD, N =	
١	1	(19SD): TSS	<= 20 mg/day		58).	
1	DROPOUTE		and < 2000		20227	
	Described	(2.15D). IN-		10-80-00 COLOR OF 2000 110	Systemic AEs:	
		CLUSION	over study pe-		and the second se	

# Figure 3. Example of PDF version with an empty row, resulting in the text that follows being obscured by the bottom line of the table

	Baseline period		rest-intervention period	Location (page/column/ paragraph or table)	
No. with event	Total observed	No. with event	Total observed		Intervention
					Control
			feety monitored for that o		

### Figure 4. Example of PDF version including empty columns

Odd ra- tio	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.50	2.75	3.00
Base line 96											
10	5	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	23	25
20	11	16	20	24	27	30	33	36	38	41	43
30	18	24	30	35	39	43	46	49	52	54	56
40	25	33	40	45	50	54	57	60	63	65	67







# 2.1.2 Do not insert symbols, tabs or spaces in a table to make it 'look nice', as these can affect the display of the final PDF

Avoid inserting symbols (e.g. spaces, dots, dashes) to adjust spacing, column widths, or layout as the effect on the published PDF is unpredictable; see Figure 6.

Out- come or Subgroup	Studies	Participants	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)
Good neurological outcome by canfiac cause vs non-canfiac cause	з	383	1.54 [1.22, 1.95]
Cardiac cause	3	372	1.51 [1.19, 1.91]
Non-cardiac cause	2	11	3.80 [0.55, 26.29]
Good neurological outcome by		382	1.56 [1.23, 1.98]

Figure 6. Symbols inserted into column headings

# 2.1.3 Do not use hyperlinks as the first 'word' in the first column of a table

Do not use a hyperlink as the first word in the first column of a row. There is a risk that the hyperlink text will 'bleed' into other table rows; see Figure 7.

### Figure 7. Example showing the hyperlink 'bleeding' into other table rows



# 2.2 Summary of Findings (SoF) tables

Issues relating to the orientation of SoF tables in the PDF can only be handled by the Production Editor (Wiley). Do not try to adjust the layout of the SoF tables if you identify a problem; instead, the author should contact their Managing Editor who will report these to David Hives, Production Editor, *The Cochrane Library* (dhives@wiley.com), who will arrange for the PDF to be adjusted upon publication of the review.

# 2.3 Text

### 2.3.1 Do not use tabs or multiple spaces within a block of text

Avoid using tabs or spaces to adjust text layout because this will affect the layout and formatting in the published version; see Figure 8 and Figure 9. This could happen unintentionally when you cut and paste text from other sources, so check the text carefully and if you notice extra spaces, either delete the spaces or type the information manually.





#### Figure 9. Example 2 of tabs or spaces used to adjust text layout



### 2.3.2 Do not use lists with more than three levels

A maximum of three list nesting levels are published in the PDF review versions. Content in list levels greater than three will not reliably display (i.e. they will disappear or only partially appear); see Figure 10. Please note that the html version will display all levels.

#### Figure 10. Example of list nesting levels

- some text level one
  - some text level two
    - some text level three
      - some text level four

# 3 Issues that can only be rectified by the publisher (Wiley) after the review is published

There are some layout issues with PDFs that cannot be corrected by making changes in the RevMan file, as shown in Part 2. These layout issues – the most common ones shown below – may be identified via the Preview Published PDF service, but they can only be corrected once the article is published in the CDSR. If you identify any of these issues, either before or after publication, bring them to the attention of the Production Editor (Wiley); see contact details above.

# 3.1 Summary of Findings (SoF) tables

### 3.1.1 Orientation of the page before or after the SoF table

SoF tables are displayed in a landscape orientation, unlike the text, which uses a portrait orientation. Sometimes the landscape orientation carries on for an additional page either side of the SoF table (as shown in Figure 11).

And Angelengene Hill inginiza wan hijat Karata Karata Dan Karata Karata Carata			Continue Constitution or Continue Constitution and Append Constitution and Append Constitution and Append Constitution Appendix Statements	Amore Same Sector Same Sect
	And the second second	 A second characteristic second characteristic second characteristics.	ng daga mentangan Manga	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

#### Figure 11. Orientation of page before or after a SoF table

## 3.1.2 Summary of Findings table cell bleeding to right edge of page

Figure 12 shows an example of a table cell bleeding to the right edge of the page.





#### 3.2 Text

#### 3.2.1 Reference text bleeding into the second column of text

See example in Figure 13.

#### Figure 13. Reference text bleeding into the second column of text



#### Text bleeding to right edge of the page 3.2.2

See example in Figure 14.

#### Figure 14. Text bleeding to right edge of the page

#### Blinding

In Gadsby 1997, both participants and the outcome ass adequately blinded. Although the researcher completing assessments and participants in Bennett 2010 were technically blind to the intervention, 10 of 19 patients correctly identified the placebo TENS. The assessor was adequately blinded in 15 of 19 participants. In Robb 2007, attempts were made to blind participants but not assessors. In this study it is commented that a minority of participants may have identified the placebo, but this was not formally analysed and numbers were not available.

#### Incomplete outcome data

In Bennett 2010, five participants did not complete the study. All in behavior 2010, the participant that the compare the scalay, can withdrew from the study after the first treatment: two in the ac-tive TENS then placebo arm and three in the other arm. Three participants did not complete due to deteriorating health unrelated to TENS and two withdrew due to increasing pain during TENS application. The data of those who did not complete were excluded from primary analysis. Two participants in the placebo arm did not complete in Gadsby 1997. In both cases it was due to deteriorating health. In Robb 2007, eight participants did not complete. The distribution of these participants was not reported. In two participants withdrawal was due to increasing pain, in two decreasing pain and in one a skin reaction. Three withdrew for other reasons which were not described. There were no statistically significant differences in baseline data between participants lost to

-1.85 to 1.22), where higher scores indicated more intense pair The study did not indicate that cancer bone pain at rest improved with TENS. Only five of the 22 adverse events were deemed at least possibly related to TENS. Only two withdrawals were related to pain during TENS application. Only one of these was during active TENS ap Robb 2007 found no significant differences in pain relief scores between TENS or sham TSE. There were also no significant differences in any of the other outcome measures, except one dimension of a patient satisfaction questionnaire where TENS was consid-ered significantly more effective than sham TSE. Twenty-six of 41 ered significantly more effective than sharn TSE. Twenty-six of 41 women (63%) who completed the study decided to continue with a device on completion of the trial and of these, the majority (n – 13) decided to continue with TENS, as opposed to sharn TSE (n – six). The majority of the women continuing with TENS were still using it to good effect at three months (n – 14) and 12 months (n – 10), with those using sharn TSE to good effect at three months and 12 months (n = 4 and n = 2 respectively). Overall, TENS appeared to be well tolerated; women found TENS easy to use and few reported difficulties with electrode placement. Adverse effects were monitored and resported and were minimal in this study. were monitored and reported and were minimal in this study. Gadsby 1997 did not detect any statistically significant differences between AL-TENS and sham AL-TENS. However, the study was underpowered, with only five participants randomised into each of the three treatment groups and only 13 participants completing the study.

#### DISCUSSION

# 3.3 Forest plot: vertical line extending down through following pages

See example in Figure 15.

#### Figure 15. Forest plot vertical line extending down page



# 3.4 References: corruption of reference text

The reference text has been corrupted during the processing of the review's references; see example in Figure 16.

### Figure 16. Corruption of reference text

	free 1 (DOE %)C'DOC!	TYPE HTML PUBLIC %	22-W3C/DCD HTME.		Hilling www.mica	og TR: 1999 REC- and	01-19991224-lisser.dbd%22%3E%3CH
							timizes is monodreproductive rycles'
							oglobal and 622 type=%22test/cu/%22
							elmstyledaott typemtesticus heidmite
	arks catholic and and the st						
							erfall openfalltest confall and of a
							keen johall inst-%22est javaseapth 2
							-NAENACI See also Mena_Section in
							C type-statt (or sample months
							ertet at e "All mosts je texter i eggin pile
							- NATER'S CHEEPT type-test (around)
							Evening script for antigoing excess tections
							LINK coloritheaters to permeatives heaf-
reviews milesheets main	contail medianal at Pair	SCRIFT Impage Units	and another 22 sevening it	hopicscleption in \$1225-35	TAC SCRP IN HAN	CSCRIPT operation	ascript%3Ever galillost = 0%22htps.%
							7 type=%i27test/invescent%27%i3E%i40
NULLIN-NOC SCRUPT-N	ETASC&CRIFT-type-tem }	entering in the Allings we	en google-matrics our	papes22432432430/9090	PT% HPM/CSCUPT	tope-text processes 117	bry four page Tracker - gat get Tracker
(56063-37%/22) page Tre	ther_trackPageware().5 cm	chile) (1%3C SCILIPT%	SENDCI- mintimeter		********	-h3Eh3Cl-	ENUCEODYNAR ACDIV daarmady
			1			- NORNAL DEADNE	PhileBODY*38%3CDIV class=body
over the state of the second of the	TAULT TWO INCOMESTICS.	ICTABLE MARCHERSTON	WARD TRATE AND ADDRESS	VILLENAL TR VALUENCE	PERMIT NUMBER OF	CTITU Planners in address?	ATTACOLY classroowy the forthclass

## 3.5 Blank pages

These blank pages occur as text or images appear just before a page break; see example in Figure 17.

#### Figure 17. Unintended blank page

