Search methods and strategy peer review assessment form for Cochrane intervention protocols

For use by a Cochrane Information Specialist, or an expert searcher external to Cochrane, to peer review a draft protocol's search methods, including the primary database strategy.

| Review title | A sample protocol of intervention for arthritis |
| Authors | Doe J, et al |
| CRG | [enter if known, may be left blank if N/A] |
| Archie version no. | [enter if known, may be left blank if N/A] |

Reviewer: [enter name]  
Email: [enter email]  
Date of Completion: [enter date]

Part A of this form (items 1-5) is structured around the search-related mandatory requirements for Cochrane intervention protocols as detailed in the MECIR standards for reporting protocols. The complete MECIR manual can be found here: https://community.cochrane.org/mecir-manual

Part B of this form (items 6-12) presents the PRESS elements (adapted by the Cochrane Information Specialist Support Team) for assessing the main database search strategy provided in the protocol. Where appropriate, each item also details the relevant MECIR conduct standard.

**PART A: MECIR ELEMENTS**

1. Search sources
   PR17 List all sources that will be searched, including: CRG specialized register(s), CENTRAL, other databases, trials registers, websites and grey literature.  
   See also related conduct standards C24, C26 and C27 for sources that are mandatory to search and therefore should be listed in the protocol

   - A. No revisions □
   - B. Revision(s) suggested X
   - C. Revision(s) required X

   If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

   **REQUIRED REVISION:** The search methods section (pg 3) reports that MEDLINE, CENTRAL and ClinicalTrials.gov will be searched. Please revise the list of planned sources and include Embase (MECIR C24) and WHO ICTRP (MECIR C27).

   **SUGGESTED REVISION:** Consider checking for trial data on the AbbVie website. It appears there are some trials listed on Humira that may meet your eligibility - https://www.humiraconnect.com/rheumatoid-arthritis/efficacy#clinical-trials

2. Reference checking
   PR17 …State whether reference lists will be searched and whether individuals or organizations will be contacted.

---

1 Designed specifically for use with protocols of intervention reviews of RCTs. Please use with caution when reviewing protocols for other review types.
A. No revisions □
B. Revision(s) suggested □
C. Revision(s) required X

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

REQUIRED REVISION: The search methods section (pg 3) does not mention if reference lists will be searched. Please revise the search methods to include checking reference lists (MECIR C30).

3. Search restrictions

PR18 Specify and justify any restrictions to be placed on the search (e.g. time period or publication format).
See also related conduct standards C19 and C35 for more information on restrictions

A. No revisions □
B. Revision(s) suggested □
C. Revision(s) required X

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

4. Searches for different types of evidence

PR19 Some reviews (e.g. qualitative, adverse effects, ...) extend beyond a focus on the effects of healthcare interventions and address specific additional types of evidence.

A. No revisions □
B. Revision(s) suggested □
C. Revision(s) required X

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

REQUIRED REVISION: The eligibility criteria includes studies about adverse effects. The current search may be overly specific as it is limited to RCTs. Please ensure that the search approach will capture studies that will report on adverse effects (MECIR PR19).

5. Search strategy (or strategies)

PR20 Present the complete search strategy (or strategies) to be implemented for at least one database in an Appendix, including any limits and filters to be used.

A. No revisions X
B. Revision(s) suggested □
C. Revision(s) required □

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

PART B: PRESS ELEMENTS

6. TRANSLATION/STRUCTURE OF SEARCH

C32 Inform the structure of search strategies in bibliographic databases around the main concepts of the review, using appropriate elements from PICO and study design. In structuring the search, maximize sensitivity whilst striving for reasonable precision.
See full MECIR item here

A. No revisions □
B. Revision(s) suggested X
C. Revision(s) required □

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:
SUGGESTED REVISION: As above, suggest increasing the sensitivity of the search by including an adverse events (AE filter). The current search structure is: Set 1 (arthritis) AND Set 2 (anti-rheumatics) AND RCT filter Suggest revising as: Set 1 (arthritis) AND Set 2 (anti-rheumatics) AND (RCT filter OR AE filter)

7. BOOLEAN AND PROXIMITY OPERATORS

C32 …ensure correct use of the AND and OR operators. See full MECIR item here

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. No revisions</th>
<th>B. Revision(s) suggested</th>
<th>C. Revision(s) required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

REQUIRED REVISION: There is a Boolean logic error in line 5 of the MEDLINE strategy. This should be or/1-4, not or/1-3. Please revise to ensure line 4 is included in the final results.

8. SUBJECT HEADINGS

C33 Identify appropriate controlled vocabulary (e.g. MeSH, Emtree, including 'exploded' terms). See full MECIR item here

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. No revisions</th>
<th>B. Revision(s) suggested</th>
<th>C. Revision(s) required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

SUGGESTED REVISION: Suggest including the MeSH term Arthritis, Juvenile/

9. TEXT WORD SEARCHING

C33 … and free-text terms (considering, for example, spelling variants, synonyms, acronyms, truncation and proximity operators). See full MECIR item here

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. No revisions</th>
<th>B. Revision(s) suggested</th>
<th>C. Revision(s) required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

SUGGESTED REVISION: Suggest including synonym terms - juvenile idiopathic arthritis juvenile psoriatic arthritis

10. SPELLING, SYNTAX, AND LINE NUMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. No revisions</th>
<th>B. Revision(s) suggested</th>
<th>C. Revision(s) required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

SUGGESTED REVISION: Suggest including truncation in line 6 for anti-rheumatic* to capture instances of anti-rheumatics

11. LIMITS AND FILTERS

C19 … ensuring that relevant time periods … and not restricted by language or publication status.
C34 Use specially designed and tested search filters where appropriate including the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategies for identifying randomized trials in MEDLINE, but do not use filters in pre-filtered databases e.g. do not use a randomized trial filter in CENTRAL or a systematic review filter in DARE. See full MECIR Items [here](#).

| A. No revisions | □ |
| B. Revision(s) suggested | X |
| C. Revision(s) required | □ |

If “B” or “C,” please provide an explanation or example:

**SUGGESTED REVISION:** The RCT filter appears overly specific. Please consider replacing with a Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for MEDLINE (MECIR C34 – highly desirable).

**OVERALL EVALUATION FOR THE SEARCH METHODS SECTION and the PRIMARY DATABASE SEARCH STRATEGY** (Note: If one or more “revision required” is noted above, the response below must be “revisions required”).

| A. No revisions | □ |
| B. Revision(s) suggested | □ |
| C. Revision(s) required | X |

Additional comments:

*Comments in section B above are specific to the MEDLINE strategy presented in the appendix.*
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