



Our REVIEW PRODUCTION



USAGE of our Reviews



IMPACT of our Reviews

Impact Factor (IF)


2009	5.653
2008	5.182
2007	4.654

+ 21% 2007
to 2009

How did we do compared to other well-known journals?

Journal	2009 IF	No. of reviews published
NEJM	47.050	73
The Lancet	30.758	154
JAMA	28.899	82
BMJ	13.660	143
PLoS Medicine	13.050	24
CMAJ	7.271	40
CDSR	5.653	1165

FINANCIAL VIABILITY of our organisation



STAFFING of our entities

ENTITY TYPE	Average number of full-time staff (MEAN), 2009-10	Average number of part-time staff (MEAN), 2009-10	Average total number of staff (MEAN), 2009-10
Centres and Branches	2.46	4.69	7.15
Review Groups	1.52	2.83	4.26
Fields	0.58	1.67	2.25
Methods Groups	0.21	0.86	1.07

FUNDING for our entities Top 10 entity funders 2009/10 for financial period 2009-14

1) National Institute for Health Research(UK); 2) Canadian Institutes of Health Research; 3) Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing; 4) South African Medical Research Council; 5) Federal Public Service of Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment (Belgium); 6) Department for International Development (UK); 7) National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (USA); 8) University of Freiburg, Medical Center (Germany); 9) Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau (Spain); 10) Victoria Department of Health (Australia)

Cochrane Review data courtesy of John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
Organisation data courtesy of the MaRC